#### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

TRANSLATION From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY To: WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing See form PCT/ISA/210 (day/month/year) Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION 310276 See paragraph 2 below International application No. International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) PCT/EP2006/050024 02.03.2005 03.01.2006 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC B23D51/02 B23D49/16 B23D61/12 **Applicant** ROBERT BOSCH GMBH This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. I Basis of the opinion Box No. II Priority Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. III Box No. 1V Lack of unity of invention Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis. 1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial Box No. V applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application **FURTHER ACTION** If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220. 3. Authorized officer Name and mailing address of the ISA/EP

Telephone No.

Facsimile No.

Box	No. I	Basis	s of this opinic	on						
1.				opinion has be under this item.	en established	i on the basis	of the interna	tional applicatio	on in the language	in which it was
		This opinion	has been estat						llowing language	
	'	Rule 12.3 an	d 23.1(b)).							
2.	Witl invo	n regard to an ntion, this opin	ny nucleotide nion has been c	and/or amino established on th	acid sequent to basis of:	ce disclosed	in the interna	tional application	on and necessary	to the claimed
	a.	type of mate	rial							
		a seque	ence listing					•		
		table(s	) related to the	sequence listing	?					
	b.	format of ma	iterial							
		in writ	ten format							
		in com	puter readable	form						
	c.	time of filing	z/turnishing							
		contain	ned in the inter	national applica	tion as filed.		,			
		filed to	gether with the	c international a	pplication in	computer rea	dable form.			
		furnish	red subsequent	ly to this Author	rity for the pu	rposes of sea	rch.			
3.		furnished, the filed or does	ne required stat a not go beyond	at more than on ements that the I the application	information i	in the subsequ	uent or additio	and/or table(s) nal copies is ide	relating thereto l entical to that in t	nas been filed or he application as
4.	Λda	litional comme	ents:							
		•								
	•									
		٠							•	
										-
										-
								٠,		
	-							•		
				•			•			

Box No. 1	V Lack of unity of invention
1.	In response to the invitation (Form PCT/ISA/206) to pay additional fees the applicant has:
:	paid additional fees
	paid additional fees under protest
	not paid additional fees
2.	This Authority found that the requirement of unity of invention is not complied with and chose not to invite the applicant to pay additional fees.
3. This	Authority considers that the requirement of unity of invention in accordance with Rules 13.1, 13.2 and 13.3 is
	complied with
	not complied with for the following reasons:
	See Supplemental Box
	·
	·
: :	
4. Co	asequently, this opinion has been established in respect of the following parts of the international application:
	all parts
	the parts relating to claims Nos.

Box	x No. V		nt under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industria anations supporting such statement	l applicability;
1.	Statement			
	Novelty (	(N)	Claims	YES
			Claims 1-14	NO NO
	Inventive	estep (IS)	Claims	YES
			Claims 1-14 .	NO NO
	Industria.	l applicability (IA)	Claims 1-14	YES
			Claims	NO
2.	Citations and	d explanations:		<u> </u>
	1 .	Referenc	e is made to the following documents:	
		D1:	DE 100 45 890 Al (ROBERT BOSCH GMBH)	
			4 April 2002 (2002-04-04)	
		D2:	US-A-3 270 369 (MANDELL ORVILLE WILL	IAM)
			6 September 1966 (1966-09-06)	
		D3:	US-A-4 953 3.01 (DOBBS, JR. ET AL)	
			4 September 1990 (1990-09-04)	
		D4:	DE 26 57 665 A1 (SCHMID & WEZEL; SCH	MID &
			WEZEL, 7133 MAULBRONN; SCHMID & WEZE	L,
			7133 M) 29 June 1978 (1978-06-29)	
		D5:	GB-A-2 180 791 (BLACK & DECKER INC)	
			8 April 1987 (1987-04-08)	
		D6:	US-A-1 838 125 (WIRTZ WILLIAM)	
			29 December 1931 (1931-12-29)	•
		D7:	DE 298 18 217 U1 (SCINTILLA AG, SOLO	THURN)
			24 February 2000 (2000-02-24)	
		D8:	FR-A-2 248 908 (BÖSCH GMBH ROBERT, D	T)
			23 May 1975 (1975-05-23)	
		D9:	US-A-5 535 520 (ARMSTRONG ET AL)	
			16 July 1996 (1996-07-16)	
		D10:	US 2002/059732 A1 (CAMPBELL DAVID C	ET AL)
			23 May 2002 (2002-05-23)	
			·	

Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement						
	D11:	US-A-5 819 420 (COLLINS ET AL)					
		13 October 1998 (1998-10-13)					
	D12:	EP-A-0 603 552 (SCINTILLA AG) 29 June 1994					
		(1994-06-29)					
	D13:	US 2003/145472 A1 (SWIFT EDGAR LEON)					
		7 August 2003 (2003-08-07)					
	D14:	DE 100 34 681 A1 (S-B POWER TOOL CO.,					
		CHICAGO) 25 January 2001 (2001-01-25)					
	D15:	DE 195 09 539 A1 (ROBERT BOSCH GMBH,					
		70469 STUTTGART, DE) 19 September 1996					
		(1996-09-19)					
2	INDEPE	NDENT CLAIM 1					
2.1	The pr	esent application does not satisfy the					
<b>←</b> • <u></u>	requirements of PCT Article 33(1) because the						
	subject matter of claim 1 is not novel under						
		ticle 33(2).					
•	·						
2.2							
	parentheses apply to this document):						
	A hand-held power saw (figure 1) with a coupling						
	means (see figures 1 and 9) for holding and						
	driving a saw blade (8) and with a guide arrangement (11 to 19) for guiding an oscillatory						
		ent of the saw blade (8), the guide					
		gement (11 to 19) comprising at least one					
		al supporting means (12 to 14) for shielding					
		oupling means from transverse forces acting					
	on the	e saw blade (8).					
2.3	Docume	ent D4 therefore discloses all the features					
		dependent claim 1.					

PCT Article 33(2).

Box No. V

International application No. PCT/EP2006/050024

Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Moreover, documents D1, D2, D3, D5 and D6 also disclose all the features of independent claim 1. INDEPENDENT CLAIM 7 3 The present application does not satisfy the 3.1 requirements of PCT Article 33(1) because the subject matter of claim 7 is not novel under

Document D8 discloses (the references between 3.2 parentheses relate to this document):

> A hand-held power saw (figure 1) with a housing (10), with a seating element (13) for supporting the housing (10) against a workpiece (26) and with a saw blade (12) movable in oscillating manner in a first direction with at least one cutting edge pointing in a working direction (see figure 1), the seating element (13) being mounted so as to be displaceable relative to the housing (10) (see description, page 2, lines 21 to 29).

- Document D8 therefore discloses all the features 3.3 of independent claim 7. Moreover, documents D9 to D14 also disclose all the features of independent claim 7.
- INDEPENDENT CLAIM 12
- The present application does not satisfy the 4.1 requirements of PCT Article 33(1) because the subject matter of claim 12 is not novel under PCT Article 33(2).

International application No.
PCT/EP2006/050024

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

- 4.2 Document D4 discloses (the references between parentheses apply to this document):
  - A saw blade (8) for a hand-held power saw with an oscillatory drive (see description, page 13, line 22 to page 14, line 2) with a holding area (the area on the right hand side in figures 4 and 6), which is provided for connection with a coupling means (see figures 1 and 9) of the hand-held power saw, and with a guide area (the area between the guide plates 12 to 14) for seating a lateral support means (12 to 14) of the hand-held power saw.
- Of independent claim 12. Moreover, documents D1 to D3 and D5 to D7 also disclose all the features of independent claim 12.
- DEPENDENT CLAIMS 2 TO 6, 8 TO 11 AND 13 AND 14

  Dependent claims 2 to 6, 8 to 11 and 13 and 14 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer back, satisfy the PCT requirements for novelty, see documents D1 to D15 and the corresponding passages cited in the search report.

International application No.
PCT/EP2006/050024

Supplemental Box

In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient. Continuation of:

#### Box IV

This Authority has determined that this international application contains multiple inventions which are not linked by a single, general inventive concept (PCT Rule 13.1), as follows:

Invention I:

Claims 1-6, 12-14:

· Hand-held power saw with saw blade guide arrangement and saw blade therefor

Invention II:

Claims 7-11:

Hand-held power saw with seating element

These two inventions are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept (PCT Rule 13.1) for the following reasons:

2. Document DE2657665 (D4) discloses (the references between parentheses apply to this document):

A hand-held power saw (figure 1) with a coupling means (see figures 1 and 9) for holding and driving a saw blade (8) and with a guide arrangement (11 to 19) for guiding an oscillatory movement of the saw blade (8), the guide arrangement (11 to 19) comprising at least one

Supplemental Box

lateral supporting means (12 to 14) for shielding the coupling means from transverse forces acting on the saw blade (8).

Document DE2657665 therefore discloses all the features of independent claim 1.

3. Document DE2657665 furthermore discloses the features

that the supporting means (12 to 14) is provided for bilateral absorption of transverse forces directed onto the saw blade (8), that the supporting means (12 to 14) takes the form of a plain bearing and

that the supporting means (12 to 14) forms a two-dimensional contact face.

Document DE2657665 therefore also discloses all the features of claims 2, 3 and 5, which are dependent on claim 1.

4. Document DE2657665 discloses (the references between parentheses apply to this document):

A saw blade (8) for a hand-held power saw with an oscillatory drive (see description, page 13, line 22 to page 14, line 2) with a holding area (the area on the right hand side in figures 4 and 6), which is provided for connection with a coupling means (see figures 1 and 9) of the hand-held power saw, and with a guide area (the area between the guide plates 12 to 14) for seating a

Supplemental Box

lateral support means (12 to 14) of the hand-held power saw.

Document DE2657665 therefore also discloses all the features of independent claim 12.

- 5. Document DE2657665 does not disclose the following features of the first invention:
  - the feature that the coupling means of the hand-held power tool takes the form of a snap-fit coupling (claim 4),
  - the feature that the contact face of the supporting means has a length of at least 2 cm in a longitudinal direction of the saw blade (claim 6),
  - the feature that the guide area of the saw blade has a greater material thickness than a working area of the saw blade with a cutting edge (claim 13) and
  - the feature that the guide area and the working area of the saw blade are connected by laser welding method (claim 14).

These features are therefore the potential special technical features (potential special technical feature 1) of the first invention and solve the problem simultaneously of simplifying mounting of the saw blade and further reducing the bending stresses in the saw blade.

Supplemental Box

6. Document DE2657665 also discloses the following features of independent claim 7 (the references between parentheses relate to this document):

A hand-held power saw (figure 1) with a housing (7) with a saw blade (8) movable in oscillating manner in a first direction with at least one cutting edge pointing in a working direction.

The subject matter of claim 7 differs from this known hand-held power saw in that a seating element is additionally provided for supporting the housing against a workpiece, which is mounted so as to be displaceable relative to the housing.

This feature is therefore the potential special technical feature (potential special technical feature 2) of the second invention and solves the problem of providing a guide for the powered hand tool.

7. The potential special technical features
(potential special technical feature 1) of the
first invention differ from the potential special
technical feature (potential special technical
feature 2) of the second invention.

Moreover, the potential special technical features
of the two inventions do not correspond to one
another because they solve different problems.
There is therefore no technical relationship under
PCT Rule 13.2 and the requirement of unity of
invention under PCT Rule 13.1 is not satisfied.